



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,279 08/01/2003		03	Stephanie K. Clendennen	EP03-008C	3830
23500	23500 7590 11/03/2005 PATENT DEPT EXELIXIS, INC. 170 HARBOR WAY			EXAMINER	
PATENT D				COLLINS, CYNTHIA E	
,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 51	P.O. BOX 511				
SOUTH SAN	I FRANCISCO,	CA 94083-051	DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,279	CLENDENNEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cynthia Collins	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This application is in condition for allows	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applority documents have been recaule (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, 17-22 and 26, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule, a plant expression vector, and a transgenic plant cell and plant, classified in class 435, subclass 419, for example.
- II. Claim 16, drawn to a method for producing a transgenic plant, classified in class800, subclass 287, for example.
- III. Claim 23, drawn to oil, classified in class 426, subclass 601, for example.
- IV. Claim 24, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1, for example.
- V. Claim 25, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule, classified in class 536, subclass 24.1, for example.

For inventions I, II and V above, restriction to a single nucleic acid sequence is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, if invention I, II or V is elected, a single nucleic acid sequence must also be elected.

Applicants are reminded that polynucleotides having different nucleotide sequences are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute **independent and distinct** inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to

Art Unit: 1638

represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each nucleotide and amino acid sequence is not a member of a single genus of invention, but constitutes an independent and patentably distinct invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I and inventions III-V are distinct inventions. The isolated nucleic acid molecule, plant expression vector, transgenic plant cell and plant of invention I are classified separately from, and differ in structure, function and use from, the oil of invention III. The plant expression vector, transgenic plant cell and plant of invention I are classified separately from, and differ in structure, function and use from, the isolated nucleic acid molecules of inventions IV-V. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of invention I differs in structure from the isolated nucleic acid molecules of inventions IV-V.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the plant expression vector can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as a method for producing a recombinant protein.

Invention II and inventions III-V are distinct inventions. The method of invention II is classified separately from, and does not require the use of or result in the production of, the oil of invention III, or the isolated nucleic acid molecules of inventions IV-V.

Art Unit: 1638

Invention III and inventions IV-V are distinct inventions. The oil of invention III is classified separately from, and differs in structure, function and use from, the isolated nucleic acid molecules of inventions IV-V.

Invention IV and V are distinct inventions. The isolated nucleic acid molecule of invention IV differs in structure from the isolated nucleic acid molecule of inventions V.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, their recognized divergent subject matter, and the requirement for different areas of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1638

103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1638

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Collins whose telephone number is (571) 272-0794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:45 AM -5:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (571) 272-0745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cynthia Collins Primary Examiner-Art Unit 1638

CC

10/19/05